

**MINUTES OF THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION  
HELD TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2021, AT 5:00 P.M. THE MEETING WAS HELD  
ELECTRONICALLY, WITHOUT A PHYSICAL LOCATION DUE TO THE CURRENT  
COVID-19 PANDEMIC AND AS AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE  
ORDER DATED 18 MARCH 2020**

**Members Present:** Mayor Mike Peterson, Council Member Scott Bracken, Council Member Tali Bruce, Council Member Christine Mikell, Council Member Douglas Petersen

**Staff Present:** City Manager Tim Tingey, City Attorney Shane Topham, Police Chief Robby Russo, Assistant Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim, Records Culture and Human Resources Director Paula Melgar, Community and Economic Development Director Michael Johnson, Public Works Director Matt Shipp, Finance and Administrative Services Director S. Scott Jurgens, Senior City Planner Andrew Hulka

**1. Welcome and Determination – Mayor Michael Peterson.**

Mayor Mike Peterson called the meeting to order at 5:02 p.m. and welcomed those listening. He read in its entirety the declaration giving the Council the authority to hold the meeting via Zoom, pursuant to Utah Code Annotated §52-4-207(4).

**2. Review of Business Meeting Agenda – Mayor Michael Peterson.**

The agenda items were reviewed and discussed.

Mayor Peterson reported that the Business Meeting would include citizen comment. The agenda also included several action items and the consent calendar. Mayor Peterson noted that the first action item relates to an ordinance concerning affordable housing in the PDD Zone. It would be discussed during the Work Session. The second action item was a resolution to approve bylaws for the Parks, Trails, and Open Space Advisory Committee. Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson stated that the Committee is interested in establishing a bylaws document. The document was drafted with input from the Parks, Trails, and Open Space Advisory Committee and reviewed by City Attorney, Shane Topham.

Mayor Peterson asked about the Bylaws document for the Parks, Trails, and Open Space Advisory Committee. It stated that the City Manager may appoint a Liaison from the legislative body to the Committee. Mayor Peterson believed that Liaison appointments come from the City Council. Records Culture and Human Resources Director, Paula Melgar thought the ordinance specified that the appointment would come from the City Manager with the consent of the City Council. Mr. Johnson reported that 2.140.703 – Governance; Membership; Operations, Section D reads:

- The City Council may appoint a non-voting, Ex-Officio Member of the Committee. The City Manager also may appoint a member of City Staff as a non-voting advisor to the Committee.

Mayor Peterson noted that the statement read by Mr. Johnson sounded different than the information shared by Ms. Melgar. Mr. Topham offered to send another version of the Bylaws document that included the verbiage from 2.140.703 – Governance; Membership; Operations, Section D. Mayor Peterson believed that would appropriate. He applauded the Bylaws document and felt it would add more structure to the Committee.

The remaining action items were outlined. Item 4.3 on the Business Meeting agenda was to approve the amended rules and procedures for the Planning Commission. Mr. Johnson commented that it had been several years since the bylaws were updated for the Planning Commission. No substantial changes had been made. Mayor Peterson reported that item 4.4 had to do with the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. It would be discussed further during the staff report portion of the Work Session.

### **3. Staff Reports.**

#### **a. Gravel Pit Discussion – Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson.**

Mr. Johnson reported that the applicants prepared information to present to the City Council related to the gravel pit development. Representatives from Rockworth Development, Adam Davis, Tom Henriod, and Serra Lakomski were present at the Work Session. Traffic Engineer, Ryan Hales, from Hales Engineering was also present. They were added to the Work Session as panelists. Mr. Davis thanked the City Council for their time. He stated that Mr. Henriod would share a presentation with Council to show design standards and landscaping. Mr. Hales would discuss the traffic impact study and analysis that had been done.

Mr. Henriod shared a slide presentation to provide further clarification on the project. He discussed the landscape buffer along SR-190 and noted that there had been many questions about the buffer. A full plan was designed by the McNeil Engineering landscape architectural team to show the plants and landscaping. The plan showed what would be in between the vehicles on SR-190 and the proposed parking lot. Mr. Henriod reported that there were a couple of areas where there was enough of an elevation change that there would be two different gabion walls. Other areas would have only one gabion wall. Photographs were shared with the City Council.

The Hillside Reclamation Plan was shared. Mr. Henriod stated that the area would not look like a cliff. It would be graded, planted, and there would be trails. The Trails Plan was shown to the Council and included walking trails on the hillside, pedestrian paths on the west side, and bicycle trails. Images prepared by McNeil Engineering's Landscape Architectural Team showed native planting and shrubs. Mr. Henriod shared slides related to the plaza areas between buildings. He reported that the circled section had to do with the area over the waterline easement. There wasn't much that could be done over the waterline, but there could be mild landscaping and xeriscaping. He noted that between buildings there were features that promote gathering, sitting, walking, and spending time within the project area.

The second portion of the presentation compared the commercial section of the project to other nearby commercial areas. Mr. Henriod reported that the commercial section encompassed approximately 5.5 acres with 288 parking stalls. The building's square footage was approximately 48,400 square feet of mixed-use retail and office as well as a 140-bedroom hotel. Comparisons were made to the Olympus Hills Shopping Center parking lot, the Fort Union Target parking lot, and the Midvale Walmart parking lot. Roughly the entire commercial area, with both the buildings and parking spaces, fit into the parking lots of those other commercial properties.

Mr. Henriod shared numerous viewsheds to provide a better understanding of how the condominium height would appear from different locations. He noted that the condominium would be the tallest building within the development.

Mr. Hales discussed the traffic work that was been done. Existing traffic volumes were examined and information was obtained from the Utah Department of Transportation ("UDOT") such as the traffic signal timing of the traffic light on SR-190. A traffic analysis was conducted to determine the total trip generation that would come from the site. The ITE Trip Generation Manual was used to determine the anticipated trips from each of the different land uses. Internal capture (visitors who moved from one site to the next within the area) had also been taken into account. Mr. Hales reported that a transit reduction had not been taken. He noted that there is a Park and Ride area nearby, which could lead to additional reductions. Based on the traffic work, there would be approximately 347 trips made during the evening peak hour.

Mr. Hales explained that any level of service that is rated D or better is considered adequate. Each one of the intersections would continue to function well with the additional traffic. He discussed an intersection drawing with the City Council. Mr. Hales clarified that no connections were planned from the project area to the neighborhood to the north.

Discussions had taken place with the City of Holladay. Another meeting was scheduled for February 18, 2021, to address the alignment of the intersection. Mr. Hales reported that the intersections currently function at a good level of service. He did not anticipate that a lot of traffic from the project would go up Wasatch Boulevard during the peak hour. During the peak hour, an estimated 5% of traffic would go north on Wasatch Boulevard or come from the north on Wasatch Boulevard into the site. That equaled approximately 17 trips out of the estimated 347 trips made during the evening peak hours. Mr. Hales stated that the developers would continue to work with the City of Holladay and discuss the intersection. He noted that four different alternatives had been identified. Those alternatives would continue to be refined.

Mr. Hales anticipated that the traffic on Wasatch Boulevard related to the project would be between 3,500 and 4,500 vehicles per day. He added that there was reserve capacity within the road system to handle additional traffic coming up and down the road. He anticipated that as the project was built out to the south, there would be accesses out to the main highway. Through those additional accesses, he anticipated that only a portion of the traffic flow would come through. As patrons went between the restaurants, hotel, and the site to the south, traffic may go through. However, reserve capacity had been planned for that purpose.

Mayor Peterson mentioned the Park and Ride lot. On ski weekends, that lot often overflowed with parking down Wasatch Boulevard. Mr. Hales commented that when the Park and Ride lot overflows and there is parking on the street, it becomes more of an enforcement issue than a property issue for the project site. He added that the majority of traffic from the site would not interfere or interact with the cars parked on the side of the road.

Council Member Mikell wondered if there were slides showing the intersection more clearly. She also wondered if traffic would back up on Wasatch Boulevard during the peak morning hour. Mr. Hales reported that the morning peak hour has an estimated 273 trips while the evening peak hour has 347 trips. The focus had been on the evening peak hour because it represented the worst-case condition. There was discussion regarding the intersection. Mr. Hales reiterated that there was an adequate level of service. The north and south traffic would be stopped and the traffic coming in from SR-190 going eastbound would be non-controlled. Council Member Mikell asked if slides related to the intersection could be sent to Council Members at a later time. Mr. Henriod offered to send additional depictions of the intersection for clarification.

Council Member Mikell asked about the level of service. Mr. Hales reported that the access point has a level of service D, which is 35 seconds. It was determined by looking at the existing condition and then add in the projected site traffic. They were comfortable with the level of service as it meets the requirements and expectations. Other intersections, such as 6200 South, Wasatch Boulevard, and Millrock Drive have a service level of C. The Gun Club Road has a level of service A. He reminded the Council Members that only an estimated 17 vehicles will be sent up Wasatch Boulevard during the peak evening hour. It was noted that the developers previously offered to share parking pads near the office complex on weekends. Mr. Davis explained that a parking structure and larger office building were initially included in the proposal. That proposal was no longer an option.

Mayor Peterson reported that he participated in meetings with the Holladay Mayor, Rob Dahle. He believed it was critical to continue to involve the City of Holladay in the intersection discussions. Mayor Peterson liked a lot of the items presented during the Work Session. He expressed concern with building height after seeing some of the viewshed slides shared during the presentation.

The proposed phasing plan was outlined. Mr. Henriod stated that Phase 1 included the multi-family rental apartments. Next was the hotel, followed by the retail mixed-use, the retail, and the condominiums. He reported that the retail space could potentially come before the hotel if the hotel business continued to struggle. However, the developers would come to the City Council if the phasing plan were to change in the future. There were discussions regarding the type of retail that would be attracted to the area. Mr. Henriod noted that there could be restaurants, service-oriented retail, and salons, similar to what was done in the City of Holladay.

Mr. Johnson outlined next steps and stated that the traffic discussions with the City of Holladay would continue. It was suggested that the Council Members reach out with any specific areas of concern or interest. This would allow staff to compile additional information. Mayor Peterson asked the Council Members to send Mr. Johnson a list of topics for further discussion by the end of the week. He thanked Messrs. Davis and Henriod for their patience and efforts.

**b. Affordable Housing in the PDD Zone Discussion - Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson.**

Mr. Johnson overviewed the original application related to affordable housing in the PDD Zone. A recommendation was made by the Planning Commission that included additional conditions and suggestions beyond those proposed in the original application. What was presented to the City Council was a modification to allow 80% of the Area Median Income (“AMI”) units but increase the minimum number of units provided at that rate from 10% to 20%. After the Planning Commission voted, the applicant reached out and voluntarily amended the application to increase the number of units to 15%. However, the applicant was uncomfortable with 20%. The City Council voted to remand the item back to the Planning Commission. Additional public comment had been received and the item was reconsidered with the amendment.

The Planning Commission issued a revised recommendation of approval with a 6-o-1 vote. The item was now back before City Council for final action. Mr. Johnson shared the exact text that was proposed and some of the highlights. For instance, if a project proposed 50% AMI, only 10% of the units would need to be provided at that rate. Another option was added to specify that units may be provided at between 50% AMI and 80% AMI. If that option was chosen, at least 15% of units would need to be provided at that rate. Mr. Johnson reported that there was also an option for a hybrid proposal, where there were some units at 50% and some at 70% or 80% AMI. In that case, the City Council would determine the required number of units. Staff recommended approval of the amendment, subject to the findings set forth in the staff report.

The amendment would apply to future PDD projects City-wide. Mr. Johnson shared example numbers for context. For instance, if there was a total of 419 total residential units, 10% would equal 42 units and 15% would equal 63 units. Mr. Johnson stated that 80% AMI qualified as affordable housing. He believed it would still allow the City to meet affordable housing goals. Mayor Peterson expressed appreciation for the work that was put into the amendment.

Council Member Mikell noted that 80% AMI met housing goals but wondered if the City should aspire to be more inclusive. Mr. Johnson felt that the 80% AMI development requirement was reasonable. However, he did not believe the work of the City should stop with the PDD as it related to affordable housing. If a community reinvestment area was successfully implemented at the gravel pit, it would require a 10% set-aside for affordable housing. He noted that one way to help close the gap with very-low-income units (30% to 50% AMI) could be to prioritize those set-asides for the low-income units. The City could then supplement what was being required of the developments to enhance what was already there.

Council Member Mikell commented that she had done projects where 10% had to be set aside in rural counties that were not using those funds for affordable housing. She wondered if those funds ended up in the Olene Walker Housing Loan Fund. City Manager, Tim Tingey stated that the funds could go towards the Olene Walker Housing Loan Fund. He noted that the ideal situation would be to have those funds stay in Cottonwood Heights where they were generated from projects in the area. The funds could then be used to facilitate affordable housing goals. Mr. Johnson

commented that there were programs for developers to use but this was not seen commonly. With the housing set-asides, the Community Development and Renewal Agency (“CDRA”) would direct staff on how to use those funds, whether it went toward a housing fund or the set-asides were used locally.

Council Member Bracken expressed concerns about some of the numbers and who was able to qualify for different AMI units. For instance, a single person earning \$15 per hour would not qualify for a 50% AMI unit because they earn too much money. A family of four with two people earning \$10 per hour would barely qualify for the 50% AMI. Council Member Bracken understood the need for those units but felt that 80% AMI would make it possible for residents within the 50% AMI to 80% AMI band to have access to affordable housing. Mayor Peterson noted that affordable housing is a high priority. He appreciated the Planning Commission, City Council, and staff for their work on the amendment. Mayor Peterson believed the amendment was a good compromise that was workable and would move the City in the right direction.

**c. Equal Rights Act Support Resolution Discussion – Mayor and City Council.**

Mayor Peterson reported that the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution was considered one year ago. It was proposed that City Council look at the item again to consider a resolution. Mr. Topham noted that the resolution was based on what was passed by the Murray City Council last year. The resolution described how Utah’s Constitution tried to respect the equality of the sexes. It also mentioned that women have served in Utah as the head of all three branches of government at various times throughout Utah’s history (Executive Branch, Legislative Branch, and Judicial Branch). There was information related to women with prominent roles in Utah leadership. Mr. Topham reported that 23% of current legislators are women. The resolution also highlighted the origins of the Equal Rights Amendment and expressed support from the Cottonwood Heights City Council for the ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution during the 2021 Session of the Utah Legislature.

Council Member Bruce noted that 94% of Americans support the Equal Rights Amendment. She felt that the amendment was critical to women from a legal perspective. Council Member Bruce noted that gender is not currently a protected class, like race and ethnicity. She expressed her support for the resolution and felt the amendment was a strong symbolic item for women. Council Member Petersen discussed the importance of equality and made note of his admiration for women. Council Member Bracken believed there was a lot of good in the resolution. However, he felt that this was a State issue rather than a municipal issue.

Council Member Mikell thanked Mayor Peterson for adding the item to the agenda. She felt it was important for the City to have a position on the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. There are approximately 35,000 residents in Cottonwood Heights and Council Member Mikell believed it was beneficial for local government to represent those constituents. She also noted that the State Legislators would likely appreciate the vote of confidence as they worked toward pushing the item forward in the State Legislature. Council Member Bruce added that the resolution is relevant to the City.

Mayor Peterson commented that the resolution is somewhat symbolic but it is important to clearly state that he appreciates the role women have in the nation, in the State, and in local government. He acknowledged that the item is a State issue. However, he believed there was value in taking a position on the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

**4. Review of Calendars and Upcoming Events.**

- a. **February 18 – 9:00 a.m. – City Council Legislative Work Session via Zoom.**
- b. **February 25 – 9:00 a.m. – City Council Legislative Work Session via Zoom.**
- c. **March 4 – 9:00 a.m. – City Council Legislative Work Session via Zoom.**

**5. Possible Closed Meeting to Discuss Litigation, Property Acquisition, and/or the Character and Professional Competence or Physical or Mental Health of an Individual.**

**MOTION:** Council Member Bracken moved to close the Work Session and move into a Closed Session. The motion was seconded by Council Member Bruce. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council was in a Closed Meeting from 6:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

**6. Adjourn City Council Work Session.**

**MOTION:** Council Member Bracken moved to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Council Member Bruce. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The Work Session adjourned at 7:00 p.m.

**MINUTES OF THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS MEETING  
HELD TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2021, AT 7:00 P.M. THE MEETING WAS HELD  
ELECTRONICALLY, WITHOUT A PHYSICAL LOCATION DUE TO THE CURRENT  
COVID-19 PANDEMIC AND AS AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE  
ORDER DATED 18 MARCH 2020**

**Members Present:** Mayor Mike Peterson, Council Member Scott Bracken, Council Member Tali Bruce, Council Member Christine Mikell, Council Member Douglas Petersen

**Staff Present:** City Manager Tim Tingey, City Attorney Shane Topham, Police Chief Robby Russo, Assistant Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim, Records Culture and Human Resources Director Paula Melgar, Community and Economic Development Director Michael Johnson, Public Works Director Matt Shipp, Finance and Administrative Services Director S. Scott Jurgens, Senior City Planner Andrew Hulka

**1.0 WELCOME AND DETERMINATION**

**1.1 Mayor Peterson, as Chair of the City Council, will read the Written Determination Concerning an Anchor Location for this Electronic Meeting During the Current Pandemic, Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. 52-4-207(4).**

Mayor Mike Peterson called the meeting to order at 7:09 p.m. He read in its entirety the determination giving the Council the authority to hold the meeting via Zoom, pursuant to Utah Code Annotated §52-4-207(4).

**2.0 PLEDGE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Police Chief, Robby Russo, led the Cottonwood Heights City Council in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**3.0 CITIZEN COMMENTS**

There were no citizen comments.

**4.0 ACTION ITEMS**

**4.1 Consideration of Ordinance 355 Amending Section 19.51.060 of the Cottonwood Heights Code of Ordinances Concerning Affordable Housing in the PDD Zone.**

Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson, shared a summary of the amendment process to date. He reported that the application to amend the affordable housing provision in the PDD Zone was originally made in October 2020. However, it was a different proposal at that time. Mr. Johnson informed the City Council that this was a City-wide text



amendment. Any final decision made would affect PDD projects moving forward but would not affect the PDD projects that had already been approved.

The current language in the ordinance requires that a minimum of 10% of units be provided at or below 50% area median income (“AMI”) as a tool to achieve affordable housing. The original amendment was to provide 10% of units at or below 80% AMI. The Planning Commission supported 80% as a median income threshold but believed the number of units should be increased. As a result, they made a recommendation that 20% of units be provided at or below 80% AMI. Subsequent to that, the applicant submitted a revision of the proposal to increase the number of units to 15% at or below 80% AMI.

After numerous meetings and discussions, the City Council voted to remand the item back to the Planning Commission to get an updated recommendation on the amended proposal, which was 15% of units at or below 80% AMI. Mr. Johnson reported that the hearing was held two weeks ago in front of the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission voted 6-to-1 to recommend approval of the amended change. The item was now back in front of the City Council for final consideration.

Mr. Johnson noted that the applicant was not trying to rule out projects that wanted to provide 50% AMI. Language in the amendment stated that if 50% AMI units were provided, only 10% of units would need to be provided at that rate. Another option was added to specify that units may be provided at 50% to 80% AMI. If that option was chosen, at least 15% of units would need to be provided at that rate. Mr. Johnson reported that there was also an option for a hybrid proposal, where there could be some units at 50% and there could be some at 70% or 80% AMI. In that case, the City Council would determine the required number of units.

Staff recommended approval of the amendment, subject to the following findings:

1. The City’s PDD ordinance is one of few in the area that includes a requirement for mixed affordable housing units. (Mixed units being those that are mixed in with the same project as market-rate units, rather than standalone affordable housing projects).
2. 80% AMI still meets the City’s affordable housing goals. It is also considered affordable housing on State, County, and Federal levels.
3. An increase in the number of units from 10% to 15% is a substantial and realistic tradeoff that provides an opportunity for private financing of those affordable units.
4. 15% of units is a reasonable requirement based on some of the incentives that the PDD allows, such as much greater building height and density, as well as more flexibility in development than in standard zoning.
5. The amended proposal very closely matches the City-initiated language in the June 2020 Draft City-Initiated PDD Amendment, which will come forward after the gravel pit property is complete.

**MOTION:** Council Member Petersen moved to adopt Ordinance 355, Amending Section 19.51.060 Regarding Affordable Housing in the PDD Zone. The motion was seconded by Council Member Bruce. Vote on motion: Council Member Mikell-Aye, Council Member Bracken-Aye, Council Member Petersen-Aye, Council Member Bruce-Aye, Mayor Mike Peterson-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

**4.2     Consideration of Resolution 2021-05 Approving Bylaws for the Parks, Trails, and Open Space Advisory Committee.**

**MOTION:** Council Member Mikell moved to adopt Resolution 2021-05 Adopting Bylaws for the Parks, Trails, and Open Space Advisory Committee. The motion was seconded by Council Member Bruce. Vote on motion: Council Member Mikell-Aye, Council Member Bracken-Aye, Council Member Petersen-Aye, Council Member Bruce-Aye, Mayor Mike Peterson-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

**4.3     Consideration of Resolution 2021-06 Approving Amended Rules and Procedures for the Planning Commission.**

Mr. Johnson reported that the Planning Commission had Bylaws in place but they had not been updated in a few years. The proposed resolution would update the Bylaws. Mr. Johnson stated that is standard procedural cleanup, but also includes procedures for online remote meetings.

**MOTION:** Council Member Bruce moved to adopt Resolution 2021-06 approving Amended Rules and Procedures for the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Council Member Petersen. Vote on motion: Council Member Mikell-Aye, Council Member Bracken-Aye, Council Member Petersen-Aye, Council Member Bruce-Aye, Mayor Mike Peterson-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

**4.4     Consideration of Resolution 2021-07 Supporting Ratification by the State of Utah of the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.**

City Attorney, Shane Topham, presented the proposed Resolution and stated that it explains how Utah's Constitution tried to respect the equality of the sexes. It also described the history of voting rights for women. Additionally, the resolution specifies that women have headed all three branches of government at various times throughout Utah's history (Executive, Legislative and Judicial) and highlighted the prominent role that women play in various endeavors, including heading four out of the nine higher education institutions in Utah. Mr. Topham noted that there was a reason to celebrate the historic and ongoing accomplishments of women.

The resolution also included the verbatim text of the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. It then stated that the City Council expressed support for Utah's ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and hoped that ratification would take place during the 2021 Legislative Session.

Mayor Peterson believed the resolution was well-written and provides an opportunity to review some of the history. He noted that the resolution is symbolic in nature because it was a State and Federal issue rather than a municipal issue. He felt it was appropriate to express support for equal rights on all fronts. Council Member Bruce expressed gratitude to the senators. Although the resolution was mainly symbolic, she believed it would be valuable marketing literature for tech companies to recruit talent from across the country. Council Member Bruce also believed the resolution represents the values of Utah.

**MOTION:** Council Member Bruce moved to adopt Resolution 2021-07 supporting ratification by the State of Utah of the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. The motion was seconded by Council Member Mikell. Vote on motion: Council Member Mikell-Aye, Council Member Petersen-Aye, Council Member Bruce-Aye, Mayor Mike Peterson-Aye, Council Member Bracken-Abstained. The motion passed unanimously with one abstention.

## **5.0 CONSENT CALENDAR**

### **5.1 Approval of the City Council Work Session and Business Meeting Minutes for February 2, 2021, and the City Council Legislative Work Session Minutes of January 28, 2021.**

**MOTION:** Council Member Petersen moved to approve the City Council Work Session and Business Meeting Minutes for February 2, 2021, and the City Council Legislative Work Session Minutes for January 28, 2021. The motion was seconded by Council Member Bruce. Vote on motion: Council Member Mikell-Aye, Council Member Bracken-Aye, Council Member Petersen-Aye, Council Member Bruce-Aye, Mayor Mike Peterson-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

## **6.0 ADJOURN CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS MEETING.**

**MOTION:** Council Member Bruce moved to adjourn the City Council Business Meeting. The motion was seconded by Council Member Bracken. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

*I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Cottonwood Heights City Council Work and Business Meetings held Tuesday, February 16, 2021.*

Teri Forbes

Teri Forbes  
T Forbes Group  
Minutes Secretary

Minutes Approved: March 16, 2021